

Timestamp	Rate on Usefulness	Rate on Organization	What went good and should be continued?	What could be improved or added?
10/2017 11:20:	4	5	Session Chair Concept , organization of sessions and Panel	
10/2017 11:23:	4	4	Broad range of presentations, using which I came to know about many new topics of research on which research is going on. Discussions on career options after PhD was very useful as well.	Last session should be extended to cover do's and don't during PhD, should not be limited to career options discussions. Information about searching good papers, what are top conferences/journals their rankings, other general tools using which one can improve efficiency.
10/2017 11:23:	2	4		
10/2017 11:27:	5	4	It is a very good effort and should be continued	Faculty presence and presence of the senior-most and junior-most PhD students, even if they are only present to give their comments.
10/2017 11:27:	5	4	Talks being on time and intermediate breaks was the best thing. Panel discussion was highly useful and provided insights. Food was good.	Though there was higher faculty presence than previous year, it would be better to have more participation and presence of faculty. May be PhD students of other related departments could also be informed, if they are interested to attend.
10/2017 11:49:	5	5	The food :) , panel discussion	1) Introduce best oral presentation award, 2) SIT 001 is not big enough to hold all the interested spectators, so I think we can continue with Bharti 501
10/2017 11:58:	4	2	I liked this concept. It was informative.	Just 1 thing- Please keep it on time. I came specially for a presentations, and when I reached it was over. When asked, I came to know that someone finished early or something, and they kept on without waiting for correct time.
10/2017 12:08:	4	5	Panel discussion was good and should be continued.	
10/2017 12:31:	5	5	The whole organization was excellent.	Perhaps try for more external audience from other departments and organizations.
10/2017 12:56:	4	5	I am a B.Tech student interested in knowing if research is a right fit for me. The final session is a good platform to know what I would be signing up for.	I do not know how the projects are selected for presentations but they could be done better. I know it is not possible to explain the complete project in 10 minutes of presentation but they can be better as well.
10/2017 13:14:	4	5	The panel discussion	1. More participations from faculty members of respective fields during a presentation. 2. Similar panel discussion (the last event by faculty members) for Prospective graduate students
10/2017 14:35:	4	4	Panel discussion. That was very useful I think. It should be continued, and more professors should be invited.	Back to back talks for two days is a little tiring. What we can do is reduce the number of talks maybe, and increase the duration of each talk. The event can be a one-day event, where few selected talks are given in details. It would help us grasp the concepts even better.
10/2017 18:40:	5	5		
10/2017 20:38:	1	4		
/11/2017 5:40:	4	4		More time should be given to present. Because 15 minutes are not sufficient for presenting an year's work.
/11/2017 9:48:	3	5	The time was managed very well and organization was close to perfect. Food was good and sessions were appropriately spaced.	For more impact, better publicity via social media or college smartboards. Undergrads were hardly aware of the symposium even though a lot of them are interested in pursuing research. Chief guests and keynote from external speakers might be useful in attracting more people. As we increase the scale of the event, students would also be more serious in making the presentation.
11/2017 10:18:	4	4		
11/2017 11:54:	3	5	Panel Discussion	
11/2017 13:26:	4	5	Panel session was good	There could be some best presentation awards or so to motivate us to improve presentation
11/2017 18:01:	4	4	Conference style proceedings	Publicize well with in the department - several senior undergrads/mtechs interested in research can also benefit from this exercise.
11/2017 18:17:	3	4	Time Management was good.	I think 15 minutes are not enough to understand and present the presentation. It is really difficult for someone who do not have knowledge of the field, to keep pace with the presentation, as many terms/procedures are new to them. I think a talk should be of at least 30 min in order for it to be more productive.
/12/2017 9:57:	3	4	Timing was maintained. Last day panel discussion was good.	If you could add female faculty in the panel it could give more insight and encouragement to female PhD candidates on home/work balance. Also if you can invite someone from industry for this it would give different outlook.

Timestamp	Rate on Usefulness	Rate on Organization	What went good and should be continued?	What could be improved or added?
/19/2017 0:07:00	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Overall organization was good. - The announcement went on-time to CSE/SIT PhDs and faculty members. - Each year we are improving the organization and trying to reach out to a larger audience, which is quite positive. - Talk durations were managed well. - Panel discussion went well and it had nice participation (and involved discussions) from audience and panel members. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - First and final year students attendance is reducing over the years. - Perhaps, each guide can track whether his student is presenting or not. - Presenters could provide a clear guideline of at-least motivating/explain the problem statement (for CSE/SIT Ph. D. audience). - At-least 2-3 profs (from the similar/same area) can attend a session (if possible) so that students (presenting) can get feedback. - Talks should be started on-time (rather than early). So that external attendees can plan and attend. - Perhaps, we can send Symposium notification to allgroup a bit early. So that BTech, MTech students can attend. - Panel discussion could have a better industry representation. Perhaps, a talk (by an adjunct faculty member) can be arranged in the next semester.